This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	PCT						
To: ROBIC 55 St-Jacques Montréal, Québec H2Y 3X2 CANADA	NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT OR THE DECLARATION (PCT Rule 44.1)						
	Date of mailing						
	(day/month/year) 22/01/2004						
Applicant's or agent's file reference							
000654-0081	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below						
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year) 16/09/2003						
PCT/CA 03/01411	16/09/2003						
PREMIER TECH 2000 LTÉE	ut						
1. The applicant is hereby notified that the International Search Report has been established and is transmitted herewith. Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the International Application (see Rule 46): When? The time limit for filing such amendments is normally 2 months from the date of transmittal of the International Search Report; however, for more details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes							
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Fascimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35 For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the acco	•						
2. The applicant is hereby notified that no International Search Report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect is transmitted herewith.							
3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that: the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.							
no decision has been made yet on the protest; the app	olicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.						
4. Further action(s): The applicant is reminded of the following:							
Shortly after 18 months from the priority date, the international at If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided completion of the technical preparations for international publications.	e of withdrawal of the international application, or of the in Rules 90 <i>bis</i> .1 and 90 <i>bis</i> .3, respectively, before the ation.						
Within 19 months from the priority date, a demand for internation wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 mc							
Within 20 months from the priority date, the applicant must perforbefore all designated Offices which have not been elected in the priority date or could not be elected because they are not bound	e demand or in a later election within 19 months from the						
Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority	Authorized officer						

Jacqueline van Ekelenburg

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international polication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been its filed, see below.

How?:

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- 1 [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
 "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- 2 [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."

"Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."

- 3 [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
 "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or
- 4 [Where various kinds of amendments are made]:
 "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international appplication is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Rule 62.2(a), first sentence).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

International application No. PCT/CA 03/01411 16/09/2003 17/09/2002 Applicant PREMIER TECH 2000 LTÉE This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau. This International Search Report consists of a total of	Applicant's or agent's file reference		of Transmittal of International Search Report 20) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.
Applicant PREMIER TECH 2000 LTÉE This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau. This International Search Report consists of a total of sheets. It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report. 1. Basis of the report a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)). b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in written form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. turnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. turnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. Line statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. Line statement that the subsequently that the statement that the subsequently that the statement that the subsequently that the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing that the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence list	International application No.	<u></u>	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
Applicant PREMIER TECH 2000 LTÉE This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau. This International Search Report consists of a total of	memalional application vo.		
This international Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau. This International Search Report consists of a total of	PCT/CA 03/01411	16/09/2003	17/09/2002
This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau. This International Search Report consists of a total of	Applicant		
This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau. This International Search Report consists of a total of			
according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau. This International Search Report consists of a total of3 sheets. X It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report. 1. Basis of the report a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. The international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)). B. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: Contained in the international application in written form. If turnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. It turnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. It the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I). Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II). With regard to the title, the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:	PREMIER TECH 2000 LTÉE		
according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau. This International Search Report consists of a total of3 sheets. X It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report. 1. Basis of the report a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. The international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)). B. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: Contained in the international application in written form. If lied together with the international application in computer readable form. It urnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. If urnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I). Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II). With regard to the title, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. X the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:			
This International Search Report consists of a total of	This International Search Report has bee	n prepared by this International Searching Auth	nority and is transmitted to the applicant
It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report. 1. Basis of the report a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was flied, unless otherwise indicated under this item. the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)). b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in written form. flied together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I). Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II). With regard to the title, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:	according to Attack to the copy to being a		•
It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report. 1. Basis of the report a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was flied, unless otherwise indicated under this item. the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)). With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in written form. flied together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I). Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II). With regard to the title, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:	This International Search Report consists	of a total of sheets.	
a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)). With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in written form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I). Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II). With regard to the title,	· —		report.
a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)). b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in written form. flied together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I). Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II). With regard to the title, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. The lext has been established by this Authority to read as follows:		<u></u>	
the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)). b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in written form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readble form. the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I). Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II). With regard to the title, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. X the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:	· .		
Authority (Rule 23.1(b)). b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in written form. filled together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readble form. the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I). Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II). With regard to the title, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. X the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:	a. With regard to the language, the language in which it was filed, un	international search was carried out on the ba- less otherwise indicated under this item.	sis of the international application in the
was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in written form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished 2. Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I). 3. Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II). 4. With regard to the title, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. X the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:		vas carried out on the basis of a translation of t	he international application furnished to this
contained in the international application in written form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I). Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II). With regard to the title, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. X the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:			nternational application, the international search
filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readble form. the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I). Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II). With regard to the title, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. X the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readble form. the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I). Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II). With regard to the title, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. X the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:	l —	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	m.
furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readble form. the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I). Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II). With regard to the title, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. X the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:	I = '		
the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I). Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II). With regard to the title, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. X the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:	<u> </u>		
the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I). Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II). With regard to the title, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. X the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:	the statement that the su	bsequently furnished written sequence listing of	does not go beyond the disclosure in the
3. Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II). 4. With regard to the title, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. X the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:	the statement that the inf		is identical to the written sequence listing has been
4. With regard to the title, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. X the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:	2. Certain claims were for	und unsearchable (See Box I).	•
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. X the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:	3. Unity of invention is lac	cking (see Box II).	
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. X the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:		•	
X the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:	4. With regard to the title,		
	the text is approved as s	ubmitted by the applicant.	
DISCUSSION OF MATERIAL AND ADMINISTRATION OF PLUCUING	X the text has been establi	shed by this Authority to read as follows:	
BUOYANCY FLUSING APPARATUS AND METHOD OF FLUSHING	BUOYANCY FLUSING APPA	RATUS AND METHOD OF FLUSHING	3
	· ·		
5. With regard to the abstract,	5. With regard to the abstract,		
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.	the text has been establi	shed, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Author	rity as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, port, submit comments to this Authority.
6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.		•	7
X as suggested by the applicant. None of the figures.			None of the figures.
because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.			_
because this figure better characterizes the invention.	1 = 1		

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/CA 03/01411

CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER PC 7 E03F1/00 E02E F16K31/22 E02B13/02 IPC 7 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) E03D F16K E02B E03F Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) EPO-Internal C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Relevant to claim No. Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages FR 523 281 A (GANDILLON PIERRE-ALLERT) 1-7.9.X 14,15 16 August 1921 (1921-08-16) the whole document Α WO 99 60221 A (BOEHM ARTHUR ; SCHRICKER 1-7,14X HEIDI (DE)) 25 November 1999 (1999-11-25) 15 page 8, line 9 -page 10, line 35; figures US 2 904 062 A (TECHLER THOMAS W) 1,4-715 September 1959 (1959-09-15) column 2, line 26 - line 28; figures Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. Patent family members are listed in annex. Special categories of cited documents: 'T' later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance invention *E* earlier document but published on or after the international *X* document of naticular relevance: the claimed, invention filing date cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone 'Y' document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such docudocument referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled other means document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "8" document member of the same patent family Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search report 22/01/2004 13 January 2004 Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, De Coene, P Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

1

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No
PCT/CA 03/01411

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
FR 523281	Α	16-08-1921	NONE		
WO 9960221	A	25-11-1999	DE DE BR WO PL	29808776 U1 29814323 U1 9910503 A 9960221 A1 345090 A1	01-10-1998 05-11-1998 29-01-2002 25-11-1999 03-12-2001
US 2904062	Α	15-09-1959	NONE		